

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/086,286	03/01/2002	Robert D. Torgerson	D0188/7135 3327	
759	90 11/16/2005		EXAM	INER
Elizabeth R. Plumer			BUI, VY Q	
Wolf, Greenfield & Sacks, P.C. 600 Atlantic Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Boston, MA 02210			3731	

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

0
\sim

	<u></u>						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/086,286	TORGERSON ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Vy Q. Bui	3731					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 C	october 2005.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pr	rosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under be	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12-14</u> is/are pending in the	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10, 12-14</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-10, 12-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority document 	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
·	_ ,						
application from the International Burea							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summai						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

At least claims 1- 10 and 12-14 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims1-3, 9-11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,454,878 and over claims 1-4 and 14-17 of US Patent No. 6,361,551. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they include substantially same subject matters such as collagen fibrils have been suspended in water without having been subjected to acid dissolution and wherein the collagen fibrils have a bulk density in the range of from about 1.5 to about 3.5 lbs/ft³.

Application/Control Number: 10/086,286 Page 3

Art Unit: 3731

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vy Q. Bui whose telephone number is 571-272-4692. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday and Thursday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Vy Q. Bui **Primary Examiner**

11/11/2005

Art Unit 3731